

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223131450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,503	-	10/31/2000	David C. Cushing	2566-106	1384
6449	7590	10/01/2004		EXAMINER	
	-	G, ERNST & MAN	WASYLCHAK, STEVEN R		
1425 K S7 SUITE 80	•	.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/699,503	CUSHING ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	//				
	Steven R. Wasylchak	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this corr D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on July1	, 2004.						
•—	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, -						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/699,503

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Claims 1-16 and 18-21 are pending.
- 2. This action is in response to remarks filed on July 1, 2004.
- 3. The rejection under 35 USC 112 is withdrawn; prior rejections remain.

Examiner's arguments:

- 4. Claim 1 states a plurality of servers. Mere multiplicity or duplication of servers in not novel. Whether there is a 1:1 mapping of server to algorithm or a 12:12 or a 12:1 mapping is not novel as no new result exists. One server with a consolidated database of unique and separate algorithms is equivalent to 3 servers each dedicated to a specific algorithm.
- 5. Claim 2 teaches program trading, whether for clients or "internally traded" (claim 14). Whether the algorithm program strategy is for in-house use or on behalf of clients, no new function results because of this mere substitution of parties. Likewise, whether the client or his trustee or executor or in-house broker issues orders for a quantity of shares under an algorithmic program trading is not novel as no new outcome is created.

This action is **FINAL**. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. Wasylchak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Art Unit 3624 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Steven Wasylchak

9/21/04

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vincent Mille